

After 3 years it seemed that the Beacon low income housing project might not be constructed. Originally the seniors at Canterbury Pointe had little input because of the process. Presently I have signatures, in a majority, of the property owners in our ~~area~~ development who oppose this project. In addition the single family home property owners on the south side of the project must also be included and considered. I hope the property owners or residents, in the 500 foot notice area, are given great weight in the final decision and conclusion. Anyway our concerns are safety, traffic, sufficient off street parking, apartment density in our neighborhood, and decrease of property values.

Therefore we strongly urge and support the city in rezoning this project property back to B-1.

*[Faint, illegible handwritten text at the bottom of the page]*

Shakopee City Council Meeting  
Tuesday, June 2, 2020

Dear Council Members,

I object to the proposed PUD Development project in our area at 1760 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue East.

When I first considered moving into Shakopee in 2011, I went to the City Planner and asked how the area was zoned. Never did I imagine that 3 or more conditional use permits would be granted by the City since moving into my neighborhood townhouse. Not something I am very happy about.

I am so upset about the current project that I have thought about selling my home and moving somewhere else, but I have moved 6 times in my lifetime and am close to 80 years old. I just can't do it again and, further, I love the area landscaping and greenery in our townhouse development. I am confident the same feelings apply to many of our senior residents.

The requested authorization should be **denied** on the following reasons:

1. **Density** – Fifty (50) units concentrated in this location is excessive. The lot size and area is too small. Further, there are many other apartments in our area to meet the public needs.
2. **Traffic** – With this project adding to the current traffic, it will be unbearable and problematic. Too many vehicles. The proposed apartment project will have close to 75-100 additional vehicles and the proposed church will generate at least 300 additional vehicles per Sunday services.
3. **Property Valuation** – Our homeowner property values will decrease. Some homes are already for sale in the vicinity.
4. **Safety** – It will create real danger for children having to cross 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue and Hwy 101 to get to Memorial Park. I walk the area every day of the week myself and am afraid and scared to cross the roads mentioned. Someone will inevitably be harmed or killed.
5. **Health** – With the COVID-19 pandemic actively affecting our health and welfare, it would be ridiculous to locate this project near our senior townhouse development. Concerns over overcrowding our sidewalks and pathways for daily walkers trying to maintain six feet social distancing guidelines will be problematic, if not impossible.

I have been very thoughtful in conveying key points that provide basis for preventing this project from moving forward and I don't know what more I can say to gain your understanding and empathy. It will destroy the tranquility of our wonderful neighborhood and the peace of mind in our remaining lives. We will be very heartbroken and feel disrespected if this project moves forward.

You must treat us fairly and give us every consideration possible, just like you would want for yourself.

Sincerely,

David E. Mack  
402 Sarazin Street  
Shakopee, MN 55379